## NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

STATE FARM LIFE INSURANCE CO. : IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF

PENNSYLVANIA

٧.

LISA JEAN NELSON SHAWARBY AND

RASHA KHEDR SHAWARBY

:

APPEAL OF: RASHA KHEDR

SHAWARBY, : No. 1973 WDA 2013

•

Appellant :

Appeal from the Order November 20, 2013, in the Court of Common Pleas of Cambria County Civil Division at No. 2013-2999

BEFORE: FORD ELLIOTT, P.J.E., SHOGAN AND MUSMANNO, JJ.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY FORD ELLIOTT, P.J.E.: FILED FEBRUARY 25, 2015

This case is related to another case docketed at No. 1972 WDA 2013, captioned "Rasha Khedr Shawarby v. Amr Omar Shawarby and the Estate of Amr Omar Shawarby, a/k/a AO Shawarby, deceased." Our review reveals appellant, Rasha Khedr Shawarby, filed a motion for consolidation of the two cases at docket Nos. 1972 and 1973 WDA 2013. Appellant's motion was denied on March 26, 2014 by *per curiam* order.

Instantly, we have received duplicate copies of the briefs and reproduced record filed at Docket No. 1972 WDA 2013 from appellant and appellee, Amr Omar Shawarby and the Estate of Amr Omar Shawarby, a/k/a AO Shawarby, deceased. State Farm Life Insurance Company has not filed a

J. A27013/14

brief. However, our review of a hearing transcript dated September 27,

2013, indicates State Farm Insurance Company was dismissed from the

case.

[Judge Fleming]: I'll note for the record that Jennifer Miller was present for State Farm Insurance Company in chambers, and she was basically dismissed upon agreement that State Farm has two life insurance policies on behalf of Dr. Shawarby, that all of the parties agree will be paid into the court with interest from the date of demise, and then the Prothonotary is going to be directed to hold those monies in an interest bearing account because of the amount of the funds. So because Attorney Miller was then not involved in subsequent discussions, we agreed that she didn't need to be here for the remaining proceedings and we assured her that we would not obligate State Farm any further than that.

Notes of testimony, 9/27/13 at 3-4.

Because the issues raised in this appeal are the exact issues addressed in the companion case and have been decided by our Memorandum decision in that matter, we dismiss this duplicative appeal.

Appeal dismissed.

Judgment Entered.

Joseph D. Seletyn, Esq.

Prothonotary

Date: 2/25/2015